

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/792,101 | 03/03/2004 | Jie Xue | CIS03-69(8041) | 4081 |
| 47654 | 7590 06/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | UANG, ESQ. HUANG & ASSOCIAT | VORTMAN, ANATOLY | | |
| 2 CONNECTOR ROAD SUITE 2A | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2835 | |
| WESTBOROU | JGH, MA 01581 | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | • | Н |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/792,101 | XUE ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anatoly Vortman | 2835 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most stof the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following nichever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to the set of th | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ie appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co | | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | TE Delow), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | e i el de la company | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ant canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |

A. Vas

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

limitations "application specific integrated circuit device" would require new consideration.

A. Vice